Version 1, 24 September 2019



Clinical Dataset

1. Study number:		
2. Site:	☐ NICU ☐ PICU ☐ Other:	
	If other, specify reason for urgency:	
3. Name of referring clinician:		
4. Name of clinical geneticist (if different):		
5. Name:		
6. Hospital UR No:		
7. DOB:		
8. Sex:		
9. Age of patient (years, months):		
10. Gestational age (weeks):		
11. Birth weight (grams):		
12. Relevant pregnancy information free text (e.g.		
increased NT, gestational diabetes, possible HIE):		
* HPO Capture Field - Capacity to capture multiple entries		
13. Parental consanguinity	Yes No	
14. Maternal ethnicity:		
15. Paternal ethnicity		
16. Affected family members?	Yes No	
If Yes: Mother No Yes, number:		
Father No Yes, number:		
Siblings 🔲 No 🗌 Yes, number:		
17. Microarray result:	Pending Normal Abnormal	
	If abnormal, provide details:	
18. Principal phenotypic features:		
Aim for 5-10 positive/negative (e.g. microcephaly,		
seizures, not dysmorphic)		
* HPO Capture Field - Capacity to capture multiple entries		
Genes to be prioritized for analysis based on phenotype		
1. For some cases, there will be a <u>shortlist</u> of 1-5 genes with high clinical index of suspicion: please highlight these		
for the laboratory team		
2. All cases will have one or more <u>virtual gene panels</u> prioritized for analysis (choose from laboratory's catalogue)		

Version 1, 24 September 2019



If rapid WES/WGS was not available, would you order a s	single gene or panel test?	
If Yes, which one:		
If rapid WES/WGS was not available, what other investig	ations would you order in the next 2 weeks?	
		
Clinical Outcomes		
1. Date of birth:		
2. Date of hospital admission:		
3. Date of ICU admission:		
4. Date of clinical genetics referral:		
5. Date of clinical genetics consult:		
6. Date proposed for Acute Care WES/WGS:		
7. Date accepted for Acute Care WES/WGS:		
8. Date consent obtained:		
9. Date result disclosed:		
10. Total number of clinical genetics inpatient	1 2 3 4 >5	
consultations:	Dates and durations of consultations:	
	Consult 1:	
	Consult 2:	
	Consult 3:	
	Consult 4:	
	Consult 5:	
11. Date of discharge from ICU:		
12. Date of discharge from hospital:		
13. Date of death:		
14. Comments/Notes:		
15. e.g. sources of delay in recruitment (for example		
patient initially stable, deteriorated unexpectedly		
on D20 of admission, transferred to ICU, WES		
initiated then)		
Laboratory Timeline (to be collected by labs)		
Date/time samples and consent received:		
2. Date/time library prep initiated:		
3. Date/time library prep completed:		
4. Date/time sequencing initiated:		
5. Date/time sequencing completed:		
6. Date/time bioinformatics analysis initiated:		
7. Date/time bioinformatics analysis complete:		
8. Date/time variant analysis initiated:		
9. Date/time variant analysis complete:		
10. Date/time reviewed by MDT:		
11. Date/time report issued:		
Clinical impact of result		

Yes No

1. Molecular diagnosis made?

Version 1, 24 September 2019



2.	How many genes were implicated	
Num	nber of genes implicated: 1 2 3	
	Gene 1: Condition (OMIM number) 1:	
	Gene 2: Condition (OMIM number) 2:	
	Gene 3: Condition (OMIM number) 3:	
Repo	ort upload in RedCap	
3.	Changes in patient management arising from result	
i.	Medication started Yes No	
	Details:	
ii.	Medication stopped Yes No	
	Details:	
iii.	Medication adjusted Yes No	
	Details:	
	Details:	
is r	Investigation cancelled Vec Ne	
iv.	Investigation cancelled Yes No	
	Details:	
٧.	Additional investigation ordered Yes No	
	Details:	
vi.	Subspecialist referral initiated Yes No	
	Details:	
vii.	Prior subspecialist service no longer required Yes No	
	Details:	
viii.	Surgical procedure initiated (incl biopsy) Yes No	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Details:	
iv	Surgical procedure cancelled Yes No	
ix.		
	Details:	
Х.	Surgical procedure changed Yes No	
	Details:	
xi.	Management redirected towards palliation Yes No	
	Details:	
xii.	Decision to palliate reversed Yes No	
	Details:	
xiii.	Patient eligibility for a new research study affected Yes No	
A1111	Details:	

Version 1, 24 September 2019



4. Additional family members tested (e.g. sibs)	☐ Yes ☐ No	
	If yes, outcome:	
5. Reproductive risk established for parents?	Yes No	
5. Reproductive risk established for parents:	If yes:	
	<pre>17 yes.</pre> <pre></pre>	
6. How do you rate the clinical utility of genomic		
testing for this patient?	Useful	
	Very useful	
	Not useful at all	
	Not very useful	
7. Do you think the length of ICU stay was shortened	Yes No	
by genomic testing?	If so, by how many days?	
	Explain:	
8. Do you think the length of ICU stay was extended	Yes No	
by genomic testing?	If so, by how many days?	
by genomic testing:		
	Explain:	
9. Genomic results (tick all that apply)		
Enabled cessation of additional testing		
Required additional testing to confirm diagnosis		
Allowed avoidance of complications		
Required additional testing to screen for compli	cations	
Enabled targeted treatment that may improve long-term outcomes		
Enabled improved communication of outcomes/expectations/prognosis with the family		
Decreased stress and confusion for the family		
Increased stress and confusion for the family		
Decreased confusion among medical staff		
Increased confusion among medical staff		
Resulted in a diagnosis not fully understood at the	· _	
Nesulted iii a diagnosis not fully understood at this time		
	ms time	
	ins time	
Comment:		